UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PATRICIA I		CASE NO. 15-CV-1924-HSG
	Plaintiff,	
	V.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
KAISER FO	UNDATION HEALTH	018 21
<u> </u>	Defendant(s).	
	sel report that they have met pulation pursuant to Civil L.I	and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties a	gree to participate in the follo	owing ADR process:
Cour	t Processes:	
	Non-binding Arbitration (A	
	Early Neutral Evaluation (I Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)	ENE) (ADR L.R. 5)
appreciably i ADR phone	more likely to meet their need	ettlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is Is than any other form of ADR must participate in an his form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)
Priva	te Process:	
Х	-	ify process and provider) The parties have agreed to by Kathy Yanni at JAMS or another mutually
The parties a	gree to hold the ADR session	ı by:
	the presumptive deadline (The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order OR process unless otherwise ordered.)
X	other requested deadline October 9, 2015	
Dated: July 2	21, 2015	/s/ Lisa S. Serebin
		Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: July 2	21, 2015	/s/ Michelle M. Scannell Attorney for Defendant

Case 4:15-cv-01924-HSG Document 21 Filed 07/22/15 Page 2 of 2

ATTESTATION

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained by each of the other signatories.

Dated: July 21, 2015

/s/ Lisa S. Serebin

Attorney for Plaintiff

|PROPOSED| ORDER

- X The parties' stipulation is adopted and IT IS SO ORDERED.
- The parties' stipulation is modified as follows, and IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 7/22/2015

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE